

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 13549 Alexandra Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/611,315	06/30/2003	Hiromichi Yamada	83394.0008	4002	
26021 HOGAN & H	7590 07/03/2007 ARTSON L.L.P.		EXAM	EXAMINER	
1999 AVENUE OF THE STARS SUITE 1400 LOS ANGELES, CA 90067			LAI, VI	LAI, VINCENT	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOO TH VODE	DS, C1170007	·	2181		
•		· ·	·		
•		() () () () () () () () () ()	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/611,315	YAMADA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vincent Lai	2181	

	Vincent Lai	2181	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 June 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	•		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notical Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	he same day as filing a Notice of ng replies: (1) an amendment, af ce of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forther than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 700		LTINGT NEFET WAST	, AALLINA
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the street forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed vame AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will <u>not</u> be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NC		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		omphatte, and an arrange	(
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	rercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but see attached.	does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (I	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	·		
•			
•			

Application/Control Number: 10/611,315

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Request for Reconsideration

The following is a response in regards to the remarks submitted on 13 June 2007 regarding U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 10/611,315.

Applicant argues on page 7 of the Response mailed 13 June 2007, "Auerback discloses at col. 9, lines 43-49 that the entire 6-bit [field] is required to indicate the length of the first compressed block (up to 63 bytes)... Auerbach thus cannot disclose or suggest the compressed code type information specifying the code length of each compressed code of variable lengths contained in each group (block), as recited in independent Claim 1."

Applicant appears to argue that Auerback teaches that only one instruction is stored in a block, the instruction being able to be of variable length. Examiner contends this interpretation still reads on the claims. There is no limitation that states that every group must contain more than one instruction.

Applicant also argues on page 8 of the Response mailed 13 June 2007, "Moreover, the applied reference do not disclose or suggest 'a compressed code processing part..., using the specified address conversion information and the Application/Control Number: 10/611,315

Art Unit: 2181

compressed code type information to determine the corresponding compressed code address' recited in independent Claim 1."

It is the contention of the Examiner that "using the specified address conversion information and the compressed code type information" can be read upon using the Auerbach disclosure of using an offset in an index table. The limitation of "to determine the corresponding compressed code address" can be read upon using the Auerbach disclosure of calculating address of compressed instruction block using the index table entry (See column 7, lines 20-30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vincent Lai whose telephone number is (571) 272-6749. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30 (First BiWeek Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2181

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vincent Lai Examiner Art Unit 2181

vl June 26, 2007

ALFORD KINDRED
PHIMARY EXAMINER